

A PLAN FOR PUBLIC SAFETY

A man in a light-colored button-down shirt and dark jeans stands on a paved path, gesturing with his right hand while talking to an older couple. The couple, consisting of a man and a woman, are standing close together, listening. The background shows a grassy area with trees and a fence. The entire image has a blue tint.

NATE FOR
STATE

DELEGATE / DISTRICT 46

Authorized by Friends of Nate Loewentheil, Guy Towney, Treasurer

Dear Friends & Neighbors:

Baltimore is experiencing a historic wave of gun violence and street crime. Over the past four years, Baltimore has become one of the most dangerous cities in America. Homicides are up approximately 60%. Robberies, carjackings and home break-ins have risen in tandem. But our current state delegates have done nothing to address the crisis.

Over the past year, I have spoken to thousands of people in our district: a retired longshoreman in Canton; an activist and single mother in Cherry Hill; a young financial analyst in Federal Hill; a union member in Brooklyn. Black and white, wealthy and poor, young and old, they all want the same thing: safer streets. Safer streets means being able to take your dog for a walk at night without feeling scared, feeling comfortable sending your kids out to the park to play in the evenings, and not worrying about walking home from the bus stop.

There are solutions to crime and violence, proven policies to help make our streets safe. But their implementation requires energy, resources, and resolve. That's why I'm running for state delegate. I have a four-part plan:

- ▶ Focus relentlessly on street crime and gun violence
- ▶ Reform and strengthen the Baltimore Police Department
- ▶ Expand support for community safety programs, especially after-school programming
- ▶ Re-focus our justice system on violent criminals

In this plan I outline specific and actionable policy ideas for each step, grounded in the best available social science and data. Some of these recommendations can be implemented by the state legislature directly. Others will take coordination across city, state, and federal public safety agencies. My top priorities will be a new statewide community safety 'block-by-block' grant program and state funding for the Baltimore Police Department for community and beat policing, tied to rapid implementation of the Department of Justice consent decree.

I know what needs to happen. I grew up in Baltimore City and County and have spent more than a decade as an advocate, activist and policy expert. In 2016, President Obama appointed me to run his White House Taskforce for Baltimore City, through which I helped mobilize \$110 million in federal funding for Baltimore. My plan is grounded not only in my experience, but in research and in extensive conversations with public safety officials, academics, and citizens. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss these proposals with you and hear your thoughts.

Nate (for State) Loewentheil
Nate@nateforstate2018.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STEP 1: FOCUS RELENTLESSLY ON STREET CRIME AND GUN VIOLENCE

4

Action 1: Expand community-oriented, beat policing

4

Action 2: Use evidence-based programs to bring down the murder rate

5

Action 3: Light up Baltimore City streets

6

Action 4: Make the BPD a national leader in using technology and data

7

STEP 2: REBUILD TRUST IN THE BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT

9

Action 1: Get the U.S. Department of Justice consent decree implemented quickly and thoroughly

9

Action 2: Improve Baltimore Police Department training

10

Action 3: Create a new Police Accountability and Reform Commission

11

Action 4: Bring more city residents into the police force

12

STEP 3: FUND COMMUNITY SAFETY PROGRAMS, ESPECIALLY AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS

14

Action 1: Expand after-school programming

14

Action 2: Support grassroots public safety projects

15

Action 3: Fully fund Safe Streets

15

STEP 4: RE-FOCUS OUR CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ON VIOLENT CRIMINALS

17

Action 1: Bring balance to the juvenile justice system

17

Action 2: For non-violent drug offenders, pivot away from jail time and towards treatment

18

Action 3: Improve our track record of prosecuting violent criminals

19

Action 4: Stop criminalizing poverty in our justice system

19

Conclusion

21

About Nate and the Campaign

22

Endnotes

24

Bibliography

29

STEP 1:

FOCUS RELENTLESSLY ON STREET CRIME AND GUN VIOLENCE

Baltimore is locked in a cycle of crime and violence. Criminals feel increasingly free to break the law, and it is more and more common to resort to guns to settle disputes. As the streets grow more dangerous, people stay locked in their homes; as the streets grow emptier, crime and danger increase in turn. We need to take dramatic steps to break this cycle.

ACTION 1: EXPAND COMMUNITY-ORIENTED, BEAT POLICING

Recommendation: Fund a new state grant program for community and beat policing. Tie funding to police department reform, including successful implementation of the U.S. Department of Justice consent decree and improved police training.

The single most important thing is also the simplest: get cops out walking the beat. This is more than a common-sense approach to crime. The benefits of beat policing are widely confirmed by social science. A visible police presence discourages criminal activity; fosters stronger community-police relations; and brings people out of their homes.¹ When officers walk a beat, they gain a familiarity with the people they serve and vice versa.² As a result, community-oriented, beat policing is associated with improved approachability and trust of police officers.³ This improved trust has a range of benefits, including a heightened willingness of members of the community to



report crime.⁴ Evidence from across the country bears out that police patrols are most effective when police officers exit their patrol cars and engage directly with the communities that they serve.⁵ For example, in 2008, Newark experimented with a sustained foot patrol program. The target area showed a 42% reduction across all crime categories relative to the control areas.⁶ Of particular relevance, shootings, aggravated assaults, and murders each decreased over 60% relative to the precinct as a whole.⁷

Beat policing also reduces fear of crime, which is important in its own right. A lot of the cost of crime is direct, paid for in the lives and trauma of its victims. But much of the cost of crime operates indirectly: the fear that attends a stroll around the block that should be safe, or an unwillingness to help members of your community because you fear being taken advantage of or victimized.

ACTION 2: USE EVIDENCE-BASED PROGRAMS TO BRING DOWN THE MURDER RATE

Recommendation: Fully fund and implement a program of focused deterrence, like Ceasefire, to address gang violence in Baltimore.

Over the past few years, Baltimore has become one of the two most violent cities in America, as measured in terms of the per-capita murder rate. The vast majority of murders are committed by a relatively small number of people in a relatively small area. According to a Baltimore Sun investigation, “80 percent of homicides by shooting were committed in about one-quarter of Baltimore’s neighborhoods.”⁸ This is typical. For instance, 50% of the killings in New Orleans occur in just 5% of its city blocks.⁹ Part of this dynamic is driven by gangs: in Cincinnati, 75% of homicides were tied to just 60 groups with a total membership of only 1,500 people.¹⁰

Research suggests that focused deterrence – i.e. a credible threat of legal action against would-be offenders – combined with programs that offer would-be offenders opportunities to leave behind their criminal lives can help break this cycle of violence.¹¹ The strongest of these focused-deterrence programs was developed by criminologist David Kennedy in Boston. His program, Ceasefire,^{*} drastically reduced shootings and murders in Boston and in dozens of cities across the U.S.

In the 1990s and again in 2014 Baltimore tried and failed to introduce Ceasefire. The failure was one of implementation: the program was underfunded and Baltimore public safety agencies were unable to work together smoothly.¹²

Programs like Ceasefire and Roca, however, have worked wonders in other cities; with the right implementation, these programs should be able to succeed in Baltimore City as well.¹³ Mayor Catherine Pugh recently brought Roca to Baltimore and I will be a strong advocate for supporting this critical effort and reintroducing the David Kennedy model of violence reduction.

^{*}Confusingly, “Ceasefire” is the name of three separate safety initiatives: David Kennedy’s Ceasefire program in Boston; Chicago’s Ceasefire (equivalent to Baltimore’s Safe Streets”); and Baltimore Ceasefire, a movement started by Errika Bridgeford over the past year.

CASE STUDY: Ceasefire in Boston¹⁴

Boston's experience with Operation Ceasefire highlights the benefits of targeted deterrence. Operation Ceasefire, which began in 1996, included two main elements: "(1) a direct law-enforcement attack on illicit firearms traffickers supplying youth with guns and (2) an attempt to generate a strong deterrent to gang violence."¹⁵ Boston adopted a "pulling levels" strategy whereby it countered gun violence with every tool at its disposal.¹⁶ Specific actions included flagging guns whose traces showed an 18-month or shorter time to crime and focusing enforcement on the makes and calibers of guns most used by gang members; attempting restoration of obliterated serial numbers and opening investigations based on those restorations; collaborating with the federal government to crack down on interstate gun trafficking; and devoting police-hours to systematic debriefings of arrestees involved with gangs and violent crime so as to maintain a constant stream of actionable intelligence.¹⁷

The results from Operation Ceasefire were stunning. The city saw a 60% decline in youth homicide.¹⁸ The benefits of Operation Ceasefire extended to other related metrics as well: "a 25% decrease in the monthly number of citywide gun assault incidents, a 32 percent decrease in the monthly number of citywide shots-fired calls for service, and a 44 percent decrease in the monthly number of youth gun assaults."¹⁹ In addition, the police department's increased attention to at-risk communities brought it closer to the population and institutions it polices; in particular, the Boston Police Department gained valuable partnerships with black churches, which helped the Department to overcome its legacy of discrimination.²⁰ Other cities have seen similar benefits from programs similar to Operation Ceasefire.²¹

ACTION 3: LIGHT UP BALTIMORE CITY STREETS

Recommendation: Establish a state-chartered Public Lighting Authority that would consolidate responsibility for streetlights and mobilize private, state and federal resources to address the lighting challenges in Baltimore.

We have all had the experience of walking down a dark Baltimore street and looking over our shoulder nervously. Hundreds of Baltimore's streetlights are burned out, and hundreds of street were never lit well to begin with. Like beat policing, street lighting directly deters crime. It also helps reassure citizens that our streets are safe, and encourages people to be out at night – which itself helps break the current downwards cycle.

Unfortunately, there is no citywide count of what percentage of streetlights are working, nor any way to prioritize new investments. That's in part because of a convoluted governance system: ownership and maintenance of streetlights is spread across BGE and three different city agencies: Department of Transportation, Department of Recreation and Parks, and



Baltimore City Housing Authority.

I am proposing a new state-chartered Public Lighting Authority that would consolidate responsibility for streetlights and mobilize private, state and federal resources to address the lighting challenges in Baltimore. This model helped Detroit convert 65,000 streetlights to LED in three years and has made Detroit one of the best-lit cities in America.²²

Today, the vast majority of lights are focused on the streets themselves – not on the sidewalks. The first step should be to dramatically expand sidewalk lights, which can be attached to existing light poles.

CASE STUDY: Detroit, MI

Detroit is a city with parallels to Baltimore. As of the 2010 census, Detroit had a population of just over 700,000, which made it the state's largest city. Over the past decade crime—and particularly violent crime—increased and contributed to a negative feedback loop of worsening material conditions. As the city exited bankruptcy, Detroit sought to reverse its downward spiral with a range of reforms, including establishing a new, state-chartered Public Lighting Authority, which replaced approximately 65,000 lights across the city between 2013 and the end of 2016.²³

In Detroit, the improved lighting was associated with a range of benefits. A 2012 study found an inverse relationship between lighting density and crime rates across city blocks in Detroit.²⁴ This result was robust enough to remain statistically significant across all model specifications.²⁵ Anecdotally, residents report that they feel safer as a result of the installation of street lights.²⁶ For example, business owners reported increased foot traffic and an increase in revenue of 15% soon after the new lights were installed.²⁷

ACTION 4: MAKE THE BPD A NATIONAL LEADER IN USING TECHNOLOGY AND DATA

The BPD lags behind other major police departments in integrating technology and data into its operations. Until recently, for example, the BPD was one of the only large police departments in the country without computers in their cars.²⁸ Some of the Department's communications and database systems still rely on Lotus 1-2-3, a database software from the 1980s. Michael Bloomberg's recent gift of \$5 million for surveillance cameras, gun-shot detection software and license plate readers was a step in the right direction.

As a state delegate, I will be an advocate for the use of technology and data in the recruitment process, deployment of officers, and tracking of violent criminals. BPD should be on the forefront of integrating technology and data into their operations, not holding up the rear.

HIGHLIGHT: PREDICTIVE POLICING

One good example of the use of data is the slightly misnamed “predictive policing” model. Predictive policing uses historical data and computer-driven, statistical analysis to determine the best ways for police forces to prevent crime. A classic example of predictive policing involves analyzing historical data to identify times and places with elevated crime levels, and then altering patrols to ensure a heightened police presence at those times and places.

Los Angeles implemented predictive policing technologies in 2011 and randomized, controlled field experiments show that this technology has already had tremendous results. LA’s predictive policing model—called PredPol—correctly predicted the locations of crimes on 4.7% of its guesses, which is more than twice as accurate as human analysts, who were correct just 2.1% of the time.²⁹ This increased accuracy has profound implications for the quality of policing. Predictive policing technology enables police offices in Los Angeles to detect an extra crime per 1000 minutes of patrol time, which translates into a 7.4% decline in crime.³⁰ This increased efficiency translates into savings for everyone. Experts estimate that PredPol’s results will, when deployed throughout the entire city, translate into savings of “\$9 million per year in Los Angeles, taking into account costs to victims, the courts and society.”³¹

STEP 2: REBUILD TRUST IN THE BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Illegal and unjust behavior over many years by a number of officers in the Baltimore Police Department has undermined the legitimacy of the Department and in turn undermined community support in many parts of Baltimore. In neighborhoods where people fear or don't trust the police, crimes go unreported, witnesses are hard to find, and more individuals take justice into their own hands. Building a more accountable, fair, and just police department is not only right – it's essential to restoring public safety.

ACTION 1: GET THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CONSENT DECREE IMPLEMENTED QUICKLY AND THOROUGHLY

Recommendation: Tie state funding for the Baltimore Police Department to rapid, thorough implementation of the DOJ consent decree.

The U.S. Department of Justice consent decree will not implement itself. Other cities have struggled to implement these decrees. The longer the process of reform, the higher the costs, since cities subject to consent decrees must pay for monitoring by the federal government, which typically runs in excess of \$1 million per year.³² In Los Angeles, the required monitoring cost \$11 million for the first five years alone; in Detroit, the monitoring cost \$13.8 million for the first six years.³³



In some cases, the consent decree reforms have taken more than a decade to implement. Los Angeles has taken 12 years to comply with its consent decree at an official cost of \$115 million; however, the city's chief legislative analyst estimates the true cost to be in excess of \$300 million.³⁴ Similarly, Detroit took 11 years to meet the stipulations of its consent decree. Detroit city officials do not even “know how much has been spent implementing the [mandated] reforms.”

On the other hand, rapid implementation of consent decrees has multiple benefits, like reducing police abuse and improving accountability. It also decreases the number of lawsuits against police departments, protecting taxpayer dollars. As Detroit moved toward substantial compliance with its consent decree, the number of new lawsuits asserting police misconduct declined precipitously from 105 in 2012 to 40 in 2016.³⁵ As a result, Detroit paid out \$4.9 million on such lawsuits in 2016 compared to \$7.1 million in 2015, a 31.9% drop in one year alone.³⁶ Such improved policing is measured not only in dollars and cents, but also a decline in legal cynicism and improvement in how the police are perceived in the communities they serve.³⁷

As I wrote in the Washington Post, I am proposing that Maryland create a Baltimore police reform fund that would tie a stream of annual grants for the Baltimore Police Department to successful implementation of the consent decree. The grants would be dedicated exclusively to recruiting, training, and deploying officers for community and beat policing. This fund will help motivate the Baltimore Police Department leadership to execute the consent decree in a timely fashion while focusing state resources on high-priority policing objectives.

ACTION 2: IMPROVE BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAINING

Recommendation: Hold the Police Department leadership accountable for guaranteeing that every BPD officer hitting the streets understands constitutional policing and has been trained in de-escalation tactics.

Part of reforming the Baltimore Police Department is reforming the process of training our officers. Today, the Police Department's leadership is too ready to let training requirements slide.³⁸ Becoming a police officer is a serious responsibility and officers must be prepared to deal with complicated social challenges and dangerous situations while continuing to afford citizens their constitutionally protected rights.

Policing training is a big part of this. Twenty-first century police agencies “must contend with new threats, new technologies, new crimes and new communities,” such as terrorism; online crimes; and mentally-ill residents who increasingly land in the criminal justice, not mental health systems.³⁹ At the same time, the “public's expectations have changed, and the public safety arena now includes more stakeholders with whom police must work to tackle some of the endemic and complex issues facing communities.”⁴⁰ As a result, police agencies must continually evolve, which requires training. Training helps police navigate new policies, react to new crimes, and treat new communities with the dignity and respect they deserve. I will be an advocate for maintaining strong standards in police training.

Alongside traditional training, the Department needs to prioritize constitutional policing practices

and de-escalation of force tactics, and make sure that every cop that graduates is fully prepared for the job. De-escalation of force tactics refer to tactics that reduce the risk that a police officer will need to use force in situations that potentially call for force. De-escalation of force tactics are particularly important in situations that involve people with mental illness, whom police officers tend to perceive as particularly dangerous;⁴¹ in line with this perception, research shows that the police disproportionately use force against people with mental illnesses.⁴²

Research shows that de-escalation training can decrease the use of force by police officers.⁴³ One particularly promising strategy is the Crisis Intervention Model, which “is a collaborative strategy with multiple components to improve police responses to persons with mental illnesses or those experiencing a mental health crisis. The best-known component of the model is the 40-hour training designed to provide select officers with the knowledge, attitudes, and skills to safely and effectively intervene with individuals in crisis and link them to psychiatric care.”⁴⁴ Officers who undergo this training are “significantly more likely to report verbal engagement or negotiation as the highest level of ‘force’ used” and have “linked a greater proportion of individuals to psychiatric care (transport to a hospital or other referral) and were less likely to provide no intervention than their non-CIT peers.”⁴⁵

ACTION 3: CREATE A NEW POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY AND REFORM COMMISSION

Recommendation: Transform the current civilian review board into a new Police Accountability and Reform Commission

The U.S. Department of Justice has characterized Baltimore’s Civilian Review Board as “ineffective... in large part because it has never been provided with adequate authority or resources to perform its intended function.”⁴⁶

The problems confronted by Baltimore’s Civilian Review Board are, unfortunately, quite common. Without proper staffing, funding, and training, citizen review boards descend into a pattern of inefficacy: they fail to complete investigations in a timely manner, they unhelpfully defer to the police on investigative matters, and they become political scapegoats.⁴⁷ As others have observed—including the Baltimore Office of Civil Rights—significant reform of police oversight is necessary.⁴⁸

I am recommending that we establish a new Police Accountability and Reform Commission as an independent watchdog agency. This new Commission, which would subsume the Civilian Review Board, would be charged with four distinct objectives: (1) To root out corruption and abuse; (2) monitor systemic civil rights abuses; (3) investigate general financial and budget mismanagement; and (4) help vet senior police leaders. The new watchdog agency would be given the investigative budget and authority – and would have the natural incentive – to be an effective monitor on the Police Department. In time, this would help to stabilize the Police Department, strengthen leadership and regain community trust.

ACTION 4: BRING MORE CITY RESIDENTS INTO THE POLICE FORCE

Recommendation: Build a police force of and for Baltimore by modifying current strict marijuana-use standards and offering housing incentive.

For 14 of the past 16 years, the Baltimore Police Department has lost more officers than it has recruited.⁴⁹ As a result, the Department is down more than 700 officers since 2002.⁵⁰ Historically, the BPD recruitment process has been antiquated and slow. Some applicants had to wait as long as a year to be accepted and go through training. The BPD is taking steps to improve its recruitment process, including moving from a paper to a digital application system and speeding up the training timeline. This work must be accelerated.

A related problem is the makeup of the force. In the current force, approximately 80% of officers live outside Baltimore City.⁵¹ Police officers that live in Baltimore City will be more likely to understand the city's challenges, empathize with city residents, and feel that the benefits of their work are benefits to their own community.

As a first step, I am proposing that the state abolish its current rule that disqualifies anyone who has used marijuana in the past three years from joining the BPD. This rule disqualifies many otherwise qualified city residents from becoming police officers. During the first six months of 2017, for example, 7% of all candidates—and 8% of all city-resident applicants—were disqualified for marijuana use, which was the most common reason for disqualifying African-American males. In total, disqualifications for marijuana constituted almost 40 percent of all disqualifications.⁵²

I will also advocate for strong incentives for BPD officers to live in Baltimore City, building on the housing tax credit passed by the Baltimore City Council. Having police reside within city limits has been tied to a range of benefits, including “promotion of ethnic balance in the community; reduction in high unemployment rates of inner-city minority groups; improvement of relations between such groups and city employees; enhancement of the quality of employee performance by greater personal knowledge of the city's conditions and by a feeling of greater personal stake



in the city's progress; diminution of absenteeism and tardiness among municipal personnel; ready availability of trained manpower in emergency situations; and the general economic benefits flowing from local expenditure of employees' salaries."⁵³ Cities as diverse as Atlanta, Chattanooga, and Washington, D.C. have all had success in attracting officers through a combination of hiring preferences for residents, police housing incentives (such as vouchers/discounts and courtesy housing offered by buildings who want an officer to live on-site), and increased advertising to police officers to already-existing housing incentives and programs.⁵⁴

In addition to pushing for policy, I will also work with developers, builders and with organizations like Live Baltimore to help encourage more police to buy home and live in the city.

STEP 3:

FUND COMMUNITY SAFETY PROGRAMS, ESPECIALLY AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMS

We cannot only rely on our formal criminal justice institutions – the police, prosecutors, courts and prisons – to address crime and violence in our city, nor can we arrest our way out of the problem. We must also act as individuals, families, and neighborhoods to discourage crime in our backyards and to shape more positive norms and expectations.

ACTION 1: EXPAND AFTER-SCHOOL PROGRAMMING

Recommendation: Mobilize local, state, federal and private sector resources to expand after-school programming, prioritizing access in low-income neighborhoods

In neighborhood after neighborhood, parents have emphasized to me the importance of after school programming that can provide safe, healthy and productive activities for our city's youth. Their instincts are backed by decades of social science research. Participation in after-school programming is linked to academic achievement, social and emotional development, prevention of risky behaviors, and health and wellness.⁵⁵

After-school programming is particularly important for at-risk youth – young people who are facing challenges at home and struggling to stay on track at school. For these students, after-school programming can mean the difference between graduating and heading to college or into the workforce; or ending up on the streets.⁵⁶

Today, only 15% of Baltimore City students have access to quality after-school programming. Over the past few decades, recreation centers have closed and rec center programs cut back. I have met community leaders fighting day in and day out to set up after-school sports and music programs, but these programs remain constantly starved for resources.

As Delegate, I will focus on expanding state funding for after-school programs for all children, with a focus on community-led programs, and on making sure that every neighborhood has access to a high-quality recreation center. Where state funding can't be found, I'll work to mobilize local, regional, and national philanthropy to invest in our children's future.

ACTION 2: SUPPORT GRASSROOTS PUBLIC SAFETY PROJECTS

Recommendation: Create a new statewide ‘community safety block-by-block grant program’ with \$10 million in annual funding.

Some of the most powerful examples of neighborhood turnaround in Baltimore have been driven from the bottom-up by citizens banding together to address blight and crime. Neighborhood-level programs have been particularly effective in deterring street and property crimes, like muggings, home-break ins, and theft. But this kind of work depends on private and community resources that have been unequally distributed in Baltimore.

I am proposing a statewide community safety grant program that provides funding directly to small, neighborhood-based nonprofit organizations, like community associations, churches, service groups, and community-development corporations. It would fund things as simple as public surveillance cameras or automatic porch lights for rowhouses on dark streets; flashlights and supplies for a neighborhood watch group; small-scale blight reduction; or after-school programs in neighborhoods with high levels of juvenile crime. The program can include training on how to appropriately manage this kind of state funding.

Academic research supports the importance of these programs. For example, a study in the *American Sociological Review* found that neighborhood associations and other local nonprofits have a major impact on crime rates. Looking at 264 cities over 20 years, the study found that for every 10 additional nonprofits per 100,000 residents, there was a 9% decline in the murder rate.⁵⁷

Grants to community groups help build what academics call “collective efficacy,” which is “the glue that binds neighborhoods together.”⁵⁸ Collective efficacy “helps explain why some communities fight crime and disorder and others do not.”⁵⁹ Multiple studies have associated collective efficacy with a range of positive outcomes. In cities around the world, scholars have found an inverse relationship between collective efficacy and violence.⁶⁰ Other studies have found that collective efficacy is inversely associated with partner violence⁶¹, risky sexual behavior by adolescents⁶², sexual initiation by adolescents⁶³, lack of self-control in children⁶⁴; low self-rated physical health⁶⁵; mortality during heat waves⁶⁶; substance abuse by adolescents⁶⁷; bullying in school⁶⁸; and passive parenting and the attendant delinquency of children⁶⁹. We must restore people’s faith in their communities and empower them to create positive change on behalf of themselves and their neighbors.

ACTION 3: FULLY FUND SAFE STREETS

Recommendation: Guarantee full state funding for 10 Safe Streets sites in Baltimore for the next five years.

There is strong evidence that crime spreads within neighborhoods and cities much like an infectious disease.⁷⁰ Just as the flu spreads from person to person, violence spreads within communities as acts of violence are normalized and as retributions spark further retributions.⁷¹ We must complement beat policing with a public-health approach to crime, working within our neighborhoods to identify and stop violence before it spreads. The Safe Streets program in Baltimore attempts to do just that, and has a strong track record of reducing violence, as Johns Hopkins Professor Daniel Webster has

shown.. Adam Milam and his colleagues surveyed attitudes on and perceptions of gun violence both before and after implementation of the Safe Streets program, and found an improvement in 43% of the attitudes toward gun violence in Safe Streets sites compared to a 13% improvement in control communities.⁷² Moreover, three of the four Safe Streets intervention sites “experienced relatively large program-related reductions in at least one measure of gun violence without also having a statistically significant increase in another measure of gun violence.”⁷³

Baltimore is not the only city that has seen promising results from programs like Safe Streets, which is modeled on a Chicago program called “CeaseFire.”⁷⁴ Chicago implemented its CeaseFire campaign in 1999. Having observed that violence often results from casual situations, such as disputes over property or relationships in the neighborhood, the CeaseFire program sought to reduce violence by using volunteers to intervene in these and other disputes that have the potential to give rise to violence.⁷⁴ More generally, the CeaseFire program sought to change community views and norms with respect to violence. The program used violence interrupters who themselves grew up in the neighborhoods they served, and had themselves been involved in gangs and violence.⁷⁵

“The neighborhoods involved in the program were typically plagued by high rates of violence, and the residents were quite poor. Most were located in the City of Chicago... Among the programs monitored, eleven served predominately African American neighborhoods, six were largely Latino, and four served diverse populations. An analysis of the sites... found that most program sites were well above the city median in terms of both crime and poverty.”⁷⁶ The program used local community groups as a force multiplier, and relied heavily on local clergy.⁷⁷

The effects of CeaseFire were both large and statistically significant. Targeted neighborhoods exhibited a 17-24% decline in shootings.⁷⁸ A few of the declines were not statistically significant or were observed in the corresponding control areas; however, four of the eight areas studied exhibited large, statistically significant declines not observed in control areas.⁷⁹ Moreover, the study found the declines to be so robust to the passage of time that the authors characterized them as “permanent.”⁸⁰ The average CeaseFire site cost \$240,000 per year.⁸²

The Maryland State Legislature took a first step towards funding Safe Streets this year in passing Tyrone Ray Safe Streets Act, which expands funding to the Safe Streets initiative by \$3.6 million. I am pleased that Governor Hogan ultimately signed the bill. However, we need to put this critical program on a more stable, long-term funding path to avoid the annual scramble.



** Again, note that Chicago’s Ceasefire is distinct from the Boston Ceasefire program discussed above.

STEP 4:

RE-FOCUS OUR CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ON VIOLENT CRIMINALS

Our justice system should not punish for the sake of punishment: prison time should be meted out as a deterrent and when required to take dangerous offenders off the streets. That means reducing jail time for non-violent drug offenders and focusing on treatment, but also holding repeat violent offenders accountable, even those under the age of 18.

ACTION 1: BRING BALANCE TO THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM

Except for the most violent offenders, most young people who commit crimes can and want to turn their lives in a better direction. We owe these young people something better than a reflexive use of the criminal justice system. But repeat juvenile offenders cannot commit violent crime with impunity.

Doing better by our children starts with identifying at-risk youth and directing them towards evidence-based programs that can keep them on the straight and narrow. When kids first begin to misbehave, we should look to restorative justice as an alternative to punishment. Baltimore is lucky to be home to the Community Mediation Conference, one of the strongest restorative justice programs in the country. By bringing together young people with their family, law enforcement officials, and victims, these programs have been shown to significantly reduce re-offending rates.



Academic studies show that restorative justice not only reduces recidivism, but lowers expenses by relieving the burden on the criminal justice system, which is generally more expensive than services provided by organizations like the Community Mediation Center.⁸³ We should expand these programs, and for those young people who are in juvenile detention, make sure they have access to a quality education.

Another proven model for keeping kids on the right path are police-youth partnerships, which provide mentorship to populations that sorely lack mentors while simultaneously knocking down the barriers that divide police from the youth they police; in four cities studied by the Eisenhower Foundation, such partnerships had statistically significant effects on crime, which ranged from a 22% to a 27% decline.⁸⁴

But these programs, however effective, will not keep everyone out of trouble. Some juvenile offenders – particularly those repeatedly committing violent crimes – must be recognized as dangers to society and treated accordingly. There’s a difference between acting out as an expression of frustration and anger, and threatening people with guns, knives, and other weapons. Maryland should explore expanding the use of blended sentences, which combine juvenile sentences and adult sentences, with the possibility of annulling adult sentences for good behavior.

ACTION 2: FOR NON-VIOLENT DRUG OFFENDERS, PIVOT AWAY FROM JAIL TIME AND TOWARDS TREATMENT

Recommendation: Require city prosecutors to present evidence about the costs of incarceration as compared to the costs for drug treatment for all non-violent drug offenders.

Juveniles are not the only Baltimoreans who can benefit from diversion programs. Baltimore has long resorted to jail time for low-level drug offenses when the best available evidence demonstrates that diversion programs can achieve superior outcomes.⁸⁵ Seattle’s diversion policies, for example, decreased the number of repeat offenses by 60% relative to a control group that did not benefit from diversion. Worse yet, when we permit law enforcement officers to police low-level offenses aggressively, their pattern of arrests and convictions show unacceptable racial disparities.⁸⁶

Not only is the criminal justice system less effective at addressing the problems posed by low-level drug offenses, it is also more costly.⁸⁷ This is a lose-lose bargain that we must reject; we cannot merely let the chips fall where they may when the damage caused by the criminal justice system is measured in shattered families, deepened ties to criminal activity, and an inability to turn one’s life around and find dignity in work.

Baltimore has already taken some steps in the right direction. During the 2016 legislative session, Maryland passed the Justice Reinvestment Act, which requires parole officers to determine whether defendants might benefit from substance use disorder treatment with the aim of making it more likely that low-level offenders will receive treatment rather than jail time.⁸⁸ Baltimore deepened its commitment to diversion in December 2016 when it announced the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion program, which seeks to send “people they stop for minor drug and prostitution offenses to

treatment programs rather than jail.”⁸⁹ Currently, the LEAD program only operates in the west Baltimore.

These steps are improvements over the usual way of doing things, but we must go further. I am therefore proposing that in every case involving a non-violent drug offender, we require the prosecutor on the case to tender evidence at sentencing as to the cost of incarceration, probation, or other such outcome relative to local, evidence-based treatment options. For first-time offenders specifically, I propose the State’s Attorney Office adopt formal guidelines that would require pre-arrest diversion unless the State’s Attorney decides that a trial or disposition by plea would better serve the interests of justice than would treatment.

ACTION 3: IMPROVE OUR TRACK RECORD OF PROSECUTING VIOLENT CRIMINALS

Recommendations: Strengthen the State’s Attorney Office and improve coordination with the Baltimore Police Department.

Even when violent criminals are apprehended, they often aren’t prosecuted, and when prosecuted, they often aren’t convicted. Over the past few years, the rate of successful prosecutions by the State’s Attorney has dropped dramatically. In 2016, Prosecutors dropped, lost, hung, or pled out over 80% of cases that went to trial; and of the gun crimes that went to trial, the States’ Attorney Office lost 65%.⁹⁰ I will be a strong advocate for professionalism and competency in the State’s Attorney Office.

ACTION 4: STOP CRIMINALIZING POVERTY IN OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM

Recommendation: Build on cash bail reforms by referring unemployed criminals facing minor charges to job programs.

Cash bail injects additional inequality into our already unequal criminal justice system by requiring that defendants who are sufficiently impoverished await trial from a jail cell while their more financially well-off counterparts go free. This practice should offend every American’s notion of fairness; we cannot abide such unequal outcomes in a country that proclaims that everyone is equal before the law. More is at stake, however, than abstract notions of fairness: people held in jail to await trial often lose their jobs and their housing; miss out on important family moments; and are excluded from the institutions and opportunities for drug or mental health treatment, which are far more robust outside of the jail cell than within.⁹¹ And like so many ill-advised criminal justice practices, taxpayers ultimately must foot the bill for this entirely avoidable, pre-trial incarceration, which costs 11 times as much as serving a non-incarcerated defendant.⁹²

For good reason, then, Maryland has begun to reform its practices regarding cash bail. In July of 2017, the Court of Appeals implemented a rule requiring courts to use alternatives to cash bail when practical, and to avoid bail amounts that the defendant cannot pay.⁹³ As a result, the percentage of

defendants held on cash bail declined from 40.2% to 20.8%. Notably, the number of defendants who failed to appear from their trial—the outcome that bail is designed to avoid—declined from 10.1% to 9.2%.⁹⁴

I applaud recent reform of the cash bail system, but we must go further. As Baltimore pivots away from cash bail, we must ensure that we have thoughtful, pre-trial programs that ensure people continue to show up for trial while simultaneously discouraging illegal, pre-trial conduct. The Work First Foundation, which stabilizes, trains, and finds employment for people accused of crimes, is a promising model. Judges in eight district courts, including the Baltimore City District Court, currently refer participants to the Foundation, and of the people referred to the Foundation: 89% graduate from their job training program; 61% are placed into employment; and 75% of participants have their cases dismissed or otherwise disposed of absent a finding of guilt.⁹⁵ I propose that we fund and expand these programs, and that we move to a system whereby all first-time, non-violent offenders are released into job programs.

CONCLUSION

Baltimore has tremendous natural strengths: a major port, world-class medical and educational institutions, and deep wells of creativity. Most importantly, we have a dedicated, committed citizenry. I grew up in this city and believe our future is bright. But we are facing significant challenges. In the long-term, we have to expand economic opportunity by investing in our young people, strengthening schools, creating pathways into the workforce for the unemployed, raising wages for working people, and bringing more jobs to the Baltimore region. I have detailed proposals for how we can achieve these goals.

But none of that will be possible unless we address the wave of crime and violence in Baltimore right now. Street crime and gun violence are costing lives, shutting down businesses and discouraging business investment. That's why I've laid out a four-step plan for immediate action.

First, we have to focus relentlessly on street crime and gun violence to break the city's downward spiral of crime and violence. We need to expand community and beat policing, light up city streets, use evidence-based programs to bring down the murder rate, and make the BPD a national leader in using data and technology.

Second, we need to work to reform and strengthen the Baltimore Police Department by getting the US Department of Justice consent decree implemented quickly and thoroughly, improving Baltimore Police Department training, creating a new police accountability and reform commission, and bringing more city residents into the police force.

Third, we have to work to expand support for community safety programs. We need to expand funding for after-school programming, support grassroots public safety projects, and fully fund the Safe Streets programs.

Fourth, we have to re-focus our justice system on violent criminals. Our goal should be to remove dangerous people from our streets while providing opportunities for rehabilitation.

This plan will save lives. It will make our streets, parks, and playgrounds safe for kids and families. We will be able retain our population, attract more people back to the city and create more jobs. It will push the city into a virtuous cycle of growth.

This plan is practical. Working across city, state, and Federal lines, we can implement these policies in a matter of months and immediately start reducing gun violence and crime.

This plan starts with the coming election. I'm asking for your vote on June 26 so I can serve you in Annapolis as a State Delegate. But the work will continue beyond the election, continue until our streets are safe, no matter where you live in the city.

Together, we can build a safer, stronger Baltimore.

ABOUT NATE AND THE CAMPAIGN

Nate grew up in southwest Baltimore, where he learned the value of community building from his parents and neighbors. As a boy, he lived on Hollins Street, just off Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard. His father, Stephan Loewentheil, had long dreamed of starting his own business and in 1988 opened a restaurant, the Cultured Pearl. The Cultured Pearl was a neighborhood favorite for more than a decade, serving up drinks, poetry and the city's best Mexican food. From his father, Nate learned the recipe of hard work and dedication that drives small business owners in Baltimore. Nate's mother, Beth, worked as a public defender in Baltimore's federal courts. Two decades of seeing her work tirelessly for justice for Baltimore's citizens inspired Nate to commit himself to a career in public service.

After attending college and law school, Nate went to work full-time for President Obama at the White House as an economic advisor. In 2015, President Obama assigned Nate to lead a White House Taskforce for Baltimore City. As Director of the Taskforce, Nate secured \$110 million in Federal funds for Baltimore. He called dozens of federal agencies to secure hundreds of paid summer jobs for Baltimore City school kids, identified \$750,000 in federal funds to help neighborhoods clean up their parks, found federal resources for the Safe Streets program to help Cherry Hill and McElderry Park to reduce the murder rate, and secured tens of millions of dollars for transportation projects, including improvements to North Avenue.

Today, Nate lives on Patterson Park in southeast Baltimore, where he is an active member of the Highlandtown Community Association and the Patterson Park Neighborhood Association and a little league coach. He is the founder of a new organization, Baltimore Homecoming, that re-engages Baltimore natives living around the U.S. to encourage them to invest their time, money, and energy in their hometown.

Nate's running for state delegate as a Democrat in Maryland's 46th legislative district. The district is represented by one state senator and three state delegates. The primary election is on June 26. Learn more at our website, www.nateforstate2018.com, and follow us on Facebook, www.facebook.com/nateforstate.





ENDNOTES

¹ Evidence abounds that foot patrols deter crime through increased probability of detection. E.g., Steven N. Durlauf and Daniel S. Nagin, “Imprisonment and crime: Can both be reduced,” *Criminology & Public Policy* 10, no. 1 (2011). Community-oriented, beat policing also improves approachability, familiarity, and trust between police and the communities they serve. Elizabeth R. Groff et al., “Does What Police Do at Hot Spots Matter? The Philadelphia Policing Tactics Experiment,” *Criminology* 53, no. 1 (2014): 26; Robert Trojanowicz, “Evaluating a neighborhood foot patrol program: The Flint, Michigan Project,” in *Community Crime Prevention: Does it work?*, ed. Dennis Rosenbaum (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1986), <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=96565>.

² Groff, “Does What Police Do at Hot Spots Matter,” 26.

³ Gary Cordner, *Reducing fear of crime: Strategies for police* (Washington, D.C.: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2010), 46, <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=252805>; George L. Kelling and Catherine M. Coles, *Fixing broken windows: Restoring order and reducing crime in our communities* (New York: Touchstone, 1996).

⁴ Emmanuel P. Barthe and B. Grant Stitt, “Impact of Increased Police Presence in a Non-Criminogenic Area,” *Police Practice and Research* 12, no. 5 (2011): 394.

⁵ Jerry H. Ratcliffe, Travis Taniguchi, Elizabeth R. Groff, and Jennifer D. Wood, “The Philadelphia foot patrol experiment: A randomized controlled trial of police patrol effectiveness in violent crime hotspots,” *Criminology* 49, no. 3 (August 2011): 795–831, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00240.x> (Philadelphia: 23%); Eric L. Piza and Brian A. O’Hara, “Saturation Foot-Patrol in a High-Violence Area: A Quasi-Experimental Evaluation,” *Justice Quarterly* 31, no. 4 (2012): 705, doi:10.1080/07418825.2012.668923. (Newark: 42%); Kenneth J. Novak, Andrew M. Fox, Christine M. Carr, and David A. Spade, “The Efficacy of Foot Patrol in Violent Places,” *Journal of Experimental Criminology* 12, no. 3 (2016): 465–75, doi:10.1007/s11292-016-9271-1. (Kansas City: 26.4%)

⁶ Piza, “Saturation Foot-Patrol,” 705

⁷ Id.

⁸ Justin George, “Some Baltimore Neighborhoods Condemned to Endure a Shocking Degree of Violence,” *The Baltimore Sun*, November 08, 2016, <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/investigations/bal-shoot-to-kill-part-two-story.html>.

⁹ Brett Blume, “UMSL Criminologist: Half Of STL Murders Concentrated In North City,” CBS, July 28, 2015, <http://stlouis.cbslocal.com/2015/07/28/umsl-criminologist-most-stl-murders-concentrated-in-north-city>.

¹⁰ Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence and PICO National Network, *Healing Communities in Crisis: Lifesaving Solutions to the Urban Gun Violence Epidemic* (San Francisco: Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence, 2016): 22.

¹¹ Durlauf and Nagin, “Imprisonment and crime: Can both be reduced.”

¹² Justin Fenton, “Star Criminologist Hopes to Make Difference in Return to Baltimore,” *The Baltimore Sun*, February 16, 2014, <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-david-kennedy-ceasefire-returns-20140215-story.html>.

¹³ Wesley G. Skogan et al, *Evaluation of CeaseFire—Chicago* (Evanston: Northwestern University; 2008); Ransford C. Kane C, Slutkin G., “CeaseFire Chicago: an analysis of the effects of a funding interruption on the CeaseFire intervention,” Presented at the annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, Philadelphia, November 2009.

¹⁴ See generally, David M. Kennedy, *Don’t Shoot: One Man, a Street Fellowship, and the End of Violence in Inner-City America* (New York: Bloomsbury, 2011).

¹⁵ Anthony A Braga, “Problem-Oriented Policing, Deterrence, and Youth Violence: An Evaluation of Boston’s Operation Ceasefire,” *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 38, no. 3 (2001): 199.

¹⁶ Ibid., 199.

¹⁷ Ibid., 2001.

¹⁸ Ibid., 2001: 204.

¹⁹ Ibid., 2001: 207

²⁰ Ibid., 2008: 145–46.

²¹ John L. Worrall and Larry K. Gaines, “The Effect of Police-Probation Partnerships on Juvenile Arrests,” *Journal of Criminal Justice* 34, no. 6 (2006): 579–89, doi:10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2006.09.012; Steven Rafael and Jens Ludwig, “Prison sentence enhancements: The case of project exile,” in *Evaluating Gun Police: Effect on Crime and Violence*, eds. Jens Ludwig and Philip J. Cook (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2003).

²² Public Lighting Authority, “Annual Report,” City of Detroit, February, 2016, http://www.pladetroit.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/LR_Feb-16_PLA-Annual-Report.pdf.

²³ Xu, “The Impact of Street Lights.”

²⁴ Ibid., 5.

²⁵ Erick Trickey et al., “The Detroit Success Story Visible From Space,” *Politico Magazine*, May 18, 2017, <https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/05/18/detroit-led-streetlights-infrastructure-project-215159>.

²⁶ Lydia F. Bednarski et al., “Case Study Analysis for the Strategic Implementation of Smart Street Lighting in the Linden Neighborhood of Columbus, Ohio,” *Campus as a Living Laboratory: Environment, Economy, Development and Sustainability (EEDS) Capstone*, <https://kb.osu.edu/dspace/handle/1811/80672>: 7.

²⁷ Mobile computers make police more efficient, less error prone, and improve citizen satisfaction. As the Police Executive Research Forum observed, “Technologies that help agencies better manage calls for service and deploy their resources in more effective ways (e.g., computer-aided dispatching with geographic positioning systems and automated offense reporting) thus have the potential to both improve citizen satisfaction and facilitate crime prevention.” Christopher S. Koper, Bruce G. Taylor, and Bruce E. Kubu, *Law Enforcement Technology Needs Assessment: Future Technologies to Address the Operational Needs of Law Enforcement* (Washington, D.C.: Police

- Executive Research Forum and Lockheed Martin Corporation, 2009), http://www.policeforum.org/assets/docs/Free_Online_Documents/Technology/law%20enforcement%20technology%20needs%20assessment%202009.pdf: 6. Mobile computers in particular have a range of benefits, including reducing dispatcher staffing needs, minimizing the time officers spend on administrative tasks, relieving radio congestion, enabling more effective deployment of resources, avoiding the cost of paper, and improving the quality of records. Margaret Jacobs, *Mobile Computing Technologies*, (Alexandria: International Association of Chiefs of Police, 2006), <http://www.theiacp.org/portals/>. Association of the Chiefs of Police estimate the savings from mobile computing technologies to be “20–40 percent” once “savings in time, personnel, money, consumables, accuracy, and safety.” Jacobs, *Mobile Computing Technologies*, 135. It is for good reason then that police officers consistently designate mobile computers as “particularly critical to addressing high priority needs in law enforcement.” Koper, *Law Enforcement Technology Needs Assessment*, 7.
- ²⁹ George O. Mohler et al., “Randomized Controlled Field Trials of Predictive Policing,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 110, no. 512 (2015), doi:10.1080/01621459.2015.1077710: 1404.
- ³⁰ Mohler, “Randomized Controlled Field Trials of Predictive Policing,” 1407.
- ³¹ Stuart Wolpert, “Predictive Policing Substantially Reduces Crime in Los Angeles during Months-long Test,” UCLA, October 07, 2015, <http://newsroom.ucla.edu/releases/predictive-policing-substantially-reduces-crime-in-los-angeles-during-months-long-test>.
- ³² Police Executive Research Forum, *Civil Rights Investigations of Local Police: Lessons Learned* (Washington, D.C.: PERF, 2013), [http://www.policeforum.org/assets/docs/Critical_Issues_Series/civil rights investigations of local police - lessons learned 2013.pdf](http://www.policeforum.org/assets/docs/Critical_Issues_Series/civil%20rights%20investigations%20of%20local%20police%20-%20lessons%20learned%202013.pdf): 34.
- ³³ Id.
- ³⁴ Kimbriell Kelly, Sara Childress, and Steven Rich, “What the Justice Department’s Decades-long Pursuit of Abusive Police Departments Has Actually Achieved,” *The Washington Post*, November 13, 2015, http://www.washingtonpost.com/sf/investigative/2015/11/13/forced-reforms-mixed-results.
- ³⁵ George Hunter, “Cop Misconduct Suits Drop after Reforms,” *Detroit News*, February 24, 2017, <https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/detroit-city/2017/02/24/detroit-police-reforms/98372122/>.
- ³⁶ Id.
- ³⁷ As Matthew Desmond, Andrew V. Papachristos, and David S. Kirk discuss in their 2016 paper, police misconduct has significant ramifications for how citizens perceive them, and the willingness of citizens to cooperate with the police, as by reporting criminal conduct., “Police Violence and Citizen Crime Reporting in the Black Community,” *American Sociological Review* 81, no. 5 (2016): 857–76, doi:10.1177/0003122416663494. These findings reflect a longstanding scholarship on “legal cynicism,” see Robert J. Sampson, “Legal Cynicism and (Subcultural?) Tolerance of Deviance: The Neighborhood Context of Racial Differences,” *Law & Society Review* 32, No. 4 (1998): 777–804, which is a “deepseated belief in the incompetence, illegitimacy, and unresponsiveness of the criminal justice system.” Desmond, “Police Violence,” 858. See also, David Kirk and Andrew Papachristos, “Cultural Mechanisms and the Persistence of Neighborhood Violence,” *American Journal of Sociology* 116, no. 4 (2011): 1190–1233; Ronald Weitzer and Steven Tuch, “Racially Biased Policing: Determinants of Citizen Perceptions,” *Social Forces* 83, no. 3 (2005): 1009–30.
- ³⁸ Sgt. Josh Rosenblatt, head of the police academy’s legal instruction, estimated that one third of Baltimore police cadets lack a basic understanding of the laws governing constitutional policing. As a result, Sgt. Rosenblatt indicates that the academy reverted to an older, less-rigorous, multiple-choice test; cadets repeatedly failed the newer, more difficult, scenario-based practical test. Jessica Anderson, “Baltimore police recruits receive badge, gun in ceremony, despite concerns about academy training,” *The Baltimore Sun*, February 3, 2018, <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-police-badge-ceremony-20180203-story.html>.
- ³⁹ Edward A. Flynn and Victoria Herrington, “Toward a Profession of Police Leadership,” in *New Perspectives in Policing* (Washington, D.C.: Department of Justice, 2015), <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/248573.pdf>: 1.
- ⁴⁰ Id.
- ⁴¹ Amy N. Kerr, Melissa Morabito, and Amy C. Watson, “Police Encounters, Mental Illness, and Injury: An Exploratory Investigation,” *Journal of Police Crisis Negotiations* 10, no. 1–2 (June 8, 2010): 116–32, doi:10.1080/15332581003757198.
- ⁴² Michael T. Rossler and William Terrill, “Mental Illness, Police Use of Force, and Citizen Injury,” *Police Quarterly* 20, no. 2 (December 15, 2016): 189–212, doi:10.1177/1098611116681480; Robert J. Kaminski, Cleto Digiovanni, and Raymond Downs, “The Use of Force between the Police and Persons with Impaired Judgment,” *Police Quarterly* 7, no. 3 (September 2004): 311–38, doi:10.1177/1098611103253456.
- ⁴³ See, e.g., Henry J. Steadman et al., “Comparing Outcomes of Major Models of Police Responses to Mental Health Emergencies,” *Psychiatric Services* 51, no. 5 (May 2000): 645–49, doi:10.1176/appi.ps.51.5.645; Nahama Broner et al., “Effects of Diversion on Adults with Co-Occurring Mental Illness and Substance Use: Outcomes from a National Multi-Site Study,” *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* 22, no. 4 (July 2004): 519–41, doi:10.1002/bsl.605; Melissa S. Morabito et al., “Crisis Intervention Teams and People With Mental Illness,” *Crime & Delinquency* 58, no. 1 (August 26, 2010): 57–77, doi:10.1177/001128710372456; Seattle Police Department, *Crisis Intervention Program Report 2015* (Seattle: Seattle Police Department, 2016), https://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/Police/Publications/2015_Crisis_Intervention_Report.pdf; Gregory Stewart, Liesbeth Gerritsen, Mary Otto, and Emma Covelli, *Crisis intervention training: The effects of*

mandatory training on use of force, arrests and holds for mental health (Portland: Portland Police Bureau Training Division, 2013).

⁴⁴ Amy C. Watson, Michael T. Compton, and Jeffrey N. Draine, “The Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Model: An Evidence-Based Policing Practice,” *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* 35, no. 5–6 (August 30, 2017), doi:10.1002/bsl.2304: 432.

⁴⁵ Michael T. Compton et al., “The Police-Based Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Model: II. Effects on Level of Force and Resolution, Referral, and Arrest,” *Psychiatric Services* 65, no. 4 (2014): 523–29, doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201300108; Amy C. Watson, Victor C. Ottati, Melissa Morabito, Jeffrey Draine, Amy N. Kerr, and Beth Angell, “Outcomes of Police Contacts with Persons with Mental Illness: The Impact of CIT,” *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research* 37, no. 4 (2009): 302–17, doi:10.1007/s10488-009-0236-9.

⁴⁶ This criticism is hardly new. For years, Baltimore’s Civilian Review Board has been criticized as irrelevant and ineffective. See, e.g., <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-police-civilian-review-board-20130602-story.html>; <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/baltimore-city/doj-report/bs-md-ci-oversight-community-20170628-story.html>.

⁴⁷ Attard, 2013: 5–8; Hernandez-Figueroa, 2013: 7; Clarke, 2009: 2, 16; Ofer, 2016: 1044–52; Finn, 2001: 88 et seq., 128 et seq.

⁴⁸ The Baltimore Office of Civil Rights writes, “The cumulative [result of the deficiencies in the Citizen Review Board] has been a statutorily weak, chronically under-resourced Board dependent on the Baltimore Police Department (BPD) to function, as well as a justified public perception that the Board is a “Toothless Tiger” with little to no influence In order to alter the status quo and shift the prevailing paradigm, a number of legislative changes must occur, and soon.”

⁴⁹ Nate Loewentheil, “High Standards? Reassessing the Use of Marijuana Standards to Screen Police Recruits in Maryland 2017,” *The Abell Report* 30, no. 7 (September 2017), https://www.abell.org/sites/default/files/files/Abell%20Police%20Marijuana%20Report_9_14%20WebVersion.pdf: 3.

⁵⁰ *Id.*

⁵¹ As the DOJ concluded, “Most BPD officers are neither originally from Baltimore nor live in the City, and many commute long distances to work at the Department. Indeed, BPD leadership informed us that roughly three-fourths of BPD officers live outside the Baltimore City limits.” Civil Rights Division, *Investigation of the Baltimore City Police Department* (Washington, D.C.: Department of Justice, 2016): 16. Local reporting later clarified that only 850 out of 4,012 sworn officers and firefighters live in the city, or approximately 80%. Pat Warren, “Report: Baltimore City Council President Says Officers ‘Raping The City’ By Not Living In Baltimore,” CBS, October 19, 2017, <http://baltimore.cbslocal.com/2017/10/19/officers-raping-the-city/>.

⁵² Loewentheil, “High Standards,” 6.

⁵³ *Ector v. City of Torrance*, 10 Cal.3d 129, 135 (Cal. Supreme Court, 1973); Werner Z. Hirsch and Anthony M. rufolo, “Economic Effects of Residency Laws on Municipal Police,” *UCLA Econ Working Papers* 279 (January, 1983), <http://www.econ.ucla.edu/workingpapers/wp279.pdf>; The Abell Foundation, *Police Housing Incentives* (Baltimore: The Abell Foundation, 2012), <http://library.umac.mo/ebooks/b28019568.pdf>: 5.

⁵⁴ The Abell Foundation, *Police Housing Incentives*, 13–22.

⁵⁵ SEDL, “Afterschool Programs Make a Difference: Findings From the Harvard Family Research Project,” *SEDL Letter* 20, no. 2 (August, 2008), http://www.sedl.org/pubs/sedl-letter/v20n02/afterschool_findings.html.

⁵⁶ Brian Lee, *California’s next after-school challenge: Keeping high school teens off the street and on the right track* (Oakland: Fight Crime: Invest in Kids California, 2004). Patrick Sharkey, Gerard Torrats-Espinosa, and Delaram Takyar, “Community and the Crime Decline: The Causal Effect of Local Nonprofits on Violent Crime,” *American Sociological Review* 82, no. 6 (2017): 1214–40, doi:10.1177/0003122417736289.

⁵⁷ Brian R. Higgins and Joel Hunt, “Collective Efficacy: Taking Action to Improve Neighborhoods,” *National Institute of Justice Journal* 277, <https://nij.gov/journals/277/Pages/collective-eficacy.aspx>.

⁵⁸ *Id.*

⁵⁹ *Id.*

⁶⁰ See, generally, John R. Hipp and James C. Wo. “Collective Efficacy and Crime,” *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*, 169–73, Elsevier, 2015, doi:10.1016/b978-0-08-097086-8.45045-2. This inverse relationship has studied in most detail in Chicago. See Robert J. Sampson, Stephen W. Raudenbush, Felton Earls, “Neighborhoods and violent crime: a multilevel study of collective efficacy,” *Science* 277 (August, 15 1997): 918–924; Robert J. Sampson and Stephen W. Raudenbush, “Systematic social observation of public spaces: a new look at disorder in urban neighborhoods,” *American Journal of Sociology* 105, no. 3 (1999). But the effect has been observed in cities as diverse as Stockholm (Robert J. Sampson and Per-Olof H. Wikstrom, “The social order of violence in Chicago and Stockholm neighborhoods: a comparative inquiry,” in *Order, Conflict, and Violence*, Eds. Kalyvas, S.N., Shapiro, I., Masoud, T (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008)); Tianjin, China (Zhang, Lening, Messner, Steven F., Liu, Jianhong. “A multilevel analysis of the risk of Household Burglary in the city of Tianjin, China,” *British Journal of Criminology* 47, no. 6: 918–937, doi:10.1093/bjc/azm026); and Brisbane (Mazerolle, Lorraine, Rebecca Wickes, and James McBroom, “Community Variations in Violence: The Role of

Social Ties and Collective Efficacy in Comparative Context,” *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 47, no. 1 (2009): 3–30, doi:10.1177/0022427809348898).

⁶¹ Browning, Christopher R., and Kathleen A. Cagney, “Neighborhood Structural Disadvantage, Collective Efficacy, and Self-Rated Physical Health in an Urban Setting,” *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 43, no. 4 (December 2002): 383, doi:10.2307/3090233; Emily M., Wright and Michael L. Benson, “Clarifying the Effects of Neighborhood Context on Violence ‘Behind Closed Doors,’” *Justice Quarterly* 28, no. 5 (October 2011): 775–98, doi:10.1080/07418825.2010.533687.

⁶² Christopher R. Browning, Lori A. Bunting, Tama Leventhal, and Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, “Neighborhood Structural Inequality, Collective Efficacy, and Sexual Risk Behavior among Urban Youth,” *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 49, no. 3 (September 2008): 269–85, doi:10.1177/002214650804900303.

⁶³ *Id.*

⁶⁴ Chris L. Gibson, Christopher J. Sullivan, Shayne Jones, and Alex R. Piquero, “Does It Take a Village? Assessing Neighborhood Influences on Children’s Self-Control,” *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 47, no. 1 (2009): 31–62, doi:10.1177/0022427809348903.

⁶⁵ Browning and Cagney, “Neighborhood Structural Inequality,” 2002.

⁶⁶ Christopher R. Browning et al., “Neighborhood Social Processes, Physical Conditions, and Disaster-Related Mortality: The Case of the 1995 Chicago Heat Wave,” *American Sociological Review* 71, no. 4 (August 2006): 661–78, doi:10.1177/000312240607100407.

⁶⁷ Patricia G. Erickson, Lana Harrison, Steven Cook, Marie-Marthe Cousineau, and Edward M. Adlaf, “A Comparative Study of the Influence of Collective Efficacy on Substance Use among Adolescent Students in Philadelphia, Toronto, and Montreal,” *Addiction Research & Theory* 20, no. 1 (November 30, 2010): 11–20, doi:10.3109/16066359.2010.530710.

⁶⁸ Kirk R. Williams, and Nancy G. Guerra, “Perceptions of Collective Efficacy and Bullying Perpetration in Schools,” *Social Problems* 58, no. 1 (February 2011): 126–43, doi:10.1525/sp.2011.58.1.126.

⁶⁹ Ronald L. Simons et al., “Collective efficacy, authoritative parenting and delinquency: a longitudinal test of a model integrating community- and family-level processes,” *Criminology* 43, no. 4 (November 2005), 989–1029, doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2005.00031.x; Candice L. Odgers et al. “The Protective Effects of Neighborhood Collective Efficacy on British Children Growing up in Deprivation: A Developmental Analysis,” *Developmental Psychology* 45, no. 4 (2009): 942–57, doi:10.1037/a0016162.

⁷⁰ Indeed, “Consistent with the notion that gun violence often spreads like a social contagion, we found significant program-related reductions in gun violence in areas bordering Safe Streets sites.” Webster et al., “Effects of

⁷¹ Violence often results from mundane interactions, such as disputes over property or women in the neighborhood. Skogan et al, *Evaluation of CeaseFire*, 242–43. Safe Streets succeeds by altering the attitudes regarding violence and providing alternative mechanisms for dispute resolution, thereby altering the norms and patterns of behavior that perpetuate cycles of violence., Adam J. Milam et al., “Changes in Attitudes toward Guns and Shootings Following Implementation of the Baltimore Safe Streets Intervention,” *Journal of Urban Health* 93, no. 4 (June 13, 2016): 609–26, doi:10.1007/s11524-016-0060-y.

⁷² Milam et al., “Changes in Attitudes.

⁷³ Webster et al., “Effects of Baltimore’s Safe Streets Program.”

⁷⁴ Skogan et al, *Evaluation of CeaseFire*, 242–43.

⁷⁵ *Ibid.*, 243.

⁷⁶ *Ibid.*, ES-4.

⁷⁷ *Ibid.*, 245.

⁷⁸ *Ibid.*, 247.

⁷⁹ *Ibid.*, 200.

⁸⁰ *Ibid.*, 200.

⁸¹ Ransford C, Kane C, Slutkin G, *CeaseFire Chicago: an analysis of the effects of a funding interruption on the CeaseFire intervention*, Presented at the annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, Philadelphia, November 2009.

⁸² Skogan et al, *Evaluation of CeaseFire*, 238.

Jennifer S. Wong, Jessica Bouchard, Jason Gravel, Martin Bouchard, and Carlo Morselli, “Can At-Risk Youth Be Diverted From Crime?,” *Criminal Justice and Behavior* 43, no. 10 (2016): 1310–29, doi:10.1177/0093854816640835: 1324 (“The results from the present analysis suggest that restorative approaches are a promising way to combat recidivism among youth and should continue to be implemented and evaluated.”);

⁸³ Craig S. Schwalbe et al., “A Meta-Analysis of Experimental Studies of Diversion Programs for Juvenile Offenders,” *Clinical Psychology Review* 32, no. 1 (February 2012): 26–33, doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.10.002; William Bradshaw, David Roseborough, and Mark S. Umbreit, “The Effect of Victim Offender Mediation on Juvenile Offender Recidivism: A Meta-Analysis,” *Conflict Resolution Quarterly* 24, no. 1 (2006): 87–98, doi:10.1002/crq.159; William R. Nugent, Mona Williams, and Mark S. Umbreit, “Participation in Victim-Offender Mediation and the Prevalence of Subsequent Delinquent Behavior: A Meta-Analysis,” *Research on Social Work Practice* 14, no. 6 (November 2004): 408–16, doi:10.1177/1049731504265831.

⁸⁴ The Milton S. Eisenhower Foundation, *Youth Investment and Police Mentoring* (Washington, D.C.: The Milton S. Eisenhower Foundation, 2001): 6 (describing the experience of four American cities—San Juan, Philadelphia,

Boston, and Chicago — with police-youth partnerships, and noting that the results were most significant in intervention neighborhoods); Michael L. Arter, “Police Mentoring: Moving Toward Police Legitimacy,” *Criminal Justice Studies* 19, no. 1 (March 2006), doi:10.1080/14786010500451224: 90 et seq. (discussing the shortage of mentors in high-crime neighborhoods and noting police gains in legitimacy from serving as mentors); Robert D. Hoge, “Application of Precharge Diversion Programs,” *Criminology & Public Policy* 15, no. 3 (July 27, 2016), doi:10.1111/1745-9133.12244: 992 (noting savings from avoiding use of courts and law enforcement personnel and superior results from avoiding youth contact with the criminal justice system). More recently, research has shown that these partnerships are effective at eroding negative views of policing, which run rampant in many high-crime neighborhoods. Hyanghee Lee, Joy Heafner, Ronald M. Sabatelli, and Valerie LaMotte, “Side-by-Side: An Evaluation of Connecticut’s Police and Youth Interaction Model,” *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment* 27, no. 8 (August 2, 2017): 806–16, doi:10.1080/10911359.2017.1339652. One primary benefit of these changes is higher rates of crime reporting, which is an indispensable asset for any police force. See Lyn Hinds, “Youth, Police Legitimacy and Informal Contact,” *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology* 24, no. 1 (December 2, 2008): 10–21, doi:10.1007/s11896-008-9031-x; Deanna N. Devlin, and Denise C. Gottfredson, “The Roles of Police Officers in Schools,” *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice* 16, no. 2 (November 29, 2016): 208–23, doi:10.1177/1541204016680405.

⁸⁵ Multiple academic studies, including reputable meta-analyses, confirm that diversion programs significantly reduce recidivism relative to traditional criminal justice outcomes. See Ojmarrh Mitchell, David Wilson, Amy Eggers, and Doris MacKenzie, “Drug Courts’ Effects on Criminal Offending for Juveniles and Adults,” *Campbell Collaboration* 4 (2012), <http://campbellcollaboration.org/lib/project/74/>; Steve Aos, Polly Phipps, Robert Barnoski, and Roxanne Lieb, *The Comparative Costs and Benefits of Programs to Reduce Crime* (Olympia, Wash.: Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 2001), <http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/rptfiles/costbenefit.pdf>.

⁸⁶ For example, a 2014 study by the ACLU reports troubling trends in drug enforcement. Maryland, which has one of the highest rates of arrest for marijuana possession in the entire nation, saw the number of arrests for marijuana possession increase by 34%. Of the additional arrests for marijuana during this time range, 5,614 were arrests of African-American citizens, whereas 314 were arrests of white citizens. ACLU, *The American War on Marijuana in Black and White* (Baltimore: American Civil Liberties Union of Maryland, 2014), http://www.aclu-md.org/uploaded_files/0000/0470/aclu_marijuana_in_md_report_whitecover.pdf.

⁸⁷ Hoge, “Application of Precharge Diversion Programs,” 992; Aisha Braveboy, “Diversion, not mass incarceration, will reduce crime,” *The Baltimore Sun*, July 23, 2013, <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-diversion-20130723-story.html> (“According to the Maryland Division of Correction, the average annual cost to house each inmate in our state prisons is \$38,654. The average yearly cost for an individual to participate in a community diversion program ranges from \$2,000 - \$5,000.”)

⁸⁸ Maryland Justice Reinvestment Act, S.B. 1005 (2016).

⁸⁹ Kevin Record, “Baltimore police to divert low-level drug, prostitution offenders to support services rather than jail,” *The Baltimore Sun*, February 6, 2017, <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-drug-diversion-program-20170206-story.html>.

⁹⁰ Thiru Vignarajah, “Data show mandatory minimum sentences won’t stop Baltimore’s gun violence,” *The Baltimore Sun*, August 11, 2017, <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-op-0813-mandatory-gun-sentence-20170809-story.html>.

⁹¹ The Maryland Office of the Public Defender, *Bail Reviewed: The Court Observation Project* (Baltimore: The Maryland Office of the Public Defender, 2018), <http://www.opd.state.md.us/Portals/0/Downloads/articles/Bail%20Reviewed.pdf>; 1; Nathaniel Fennel and Meredith Prescott, “Risk, Not Resources: Improving Pretrial Release Process in Texas,” *Policy Research Project on Correctional Oversight White Paper Series* (June 2016), <https://ljb.utexas.edu/sites/default/files/file/Risk,%20Not%20Resources-%20Improving%20the%20Pretrial%20Release%20Process%20in%20Texas--FINAL.pdf>; Megan T. Stevenson and Sandra G. Mayson, “Bail Reform: New Directions for Pretrial Detention and Release,” in *Academy for Justice, A Report on Scholarship and Criminal Justice Reform* (Erik Luna ed., 2017, Forthcoming), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2939273.

⁹² Open Societies Foundation, *Steps in the Right Direction: Maryland Counties Leading the Way in Pretrial Services, Criminal and Juvenile Justice Program*, (Baltimore: Open Society Institute - Baltimore, 2018), https://www.osibaltimore.org/wp-content/uploads/Steps_Formatted-V3.pdf, 2.

⁹³ Maryland Rules, “Rule 4-216.1,” <https://www.courts.state.md.us/sites/default/files/rules/order/ro192.pdf>.

⁹⁴ Maryland Courts, “Press Release,” October 3, 2017, <https://www.mdcourts.gov/media/newsitem/2017/item20171103>.

⁹⁵ The Work First Foundation, “About the Program,” Baltimore Bail Diversion Program, <https://www.theworkfirstfoundation.org/>

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ACLU. The American War on Marijuana in Black and White. Baltimore: American Civil Liberties Union of Maryland, 2014. http://www.aclu-md.org/uploaded_files/0000/0470/aclu_marijuana_in_md_report_whitecover.pdf.
- Anderson, Jessica. "Baltimore police recruits receive badge, gun in ceremony, despite concerns about academy training." *The Baltimore Sun*. February 3, 2018. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-police-badge-ceremony-20180203-story.html>.
- Aos, Steve, Polly Phipps, Robert Barnoski, and Roxanne Lieb. *The Comparative Costs and Benefits of Programs to Reduce Crime*. Olympia, Wash.: Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 2001. <http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/rptfiles/costbenefit.pdf>.
- Arter, Michael L. "Police Mentoring: Moving Toward Police Legitimacy." *Criminal Justice Studies* 19, no. 1 (March 2006): 85–97. doi:10.1080/14786010500451224.
- Attard, Barbara J., and Kathryn Olson. "Overview of Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement in the United States." Accountability Associates (2013). <http://accountabilityassociates.org/wp-content/uploads/Oversight-in-the-US-%E2%80%A6FINAL.pdf>.
- The Baltimore Office of Civil Rights. "Fifteen Recommendations to Make the Civilian Review Board Effective: A Preliminary Report to the Community Oversight Task Force." <https://civilrights.baltimorecity.gov/sites/default/files/COTF%20Preliminary%20Report%20and%20Addendum.pdf>.
- Barthe, Emmanuel P., and B. Grant Stitt. "Impact of Increased Police Presence in a Non-Criminogenic Area." *Police Practice and Research* 12, no. 5 (October 2011): 383–96. doi:10.1080/15614263.2011.563964.
- Bednarski, Lydia F.; Dodson, Brittany T.; Domecus, Gabriel S.; Levine, Addair Z.; Odiorne, Margaret G. "Case Study Analysis for the Strategic Implementation of Smart Street Lighting in the Linden Neighborhood of Columbus, Ohio." *Campus as a Living Laboratory. Environment, Economy, Development and Sustainability (EEDS) Capstone*, <https://kb.osu.edu/dspace/handle/1811/80672>.
- Blume, Brett. "UMSL Criminologist: Half Of STL Murders Concentrated In North City." CBS. July 28, 2015. <http://stlouis.cbslocal.com/2015/07/28/umsl-criminologist-most-stl-murders-concentrated-in-north-city>.
- Bradshaw, William, David Roseborough, and Mark S. Umbreit. "The Effect of Victim Offender Mediation on Juvenile Offender Recidivism: A Meta-Analysis." *Conflict Resolution Quarterly* 24, no. 1 (2006): 87–98. doi:10.1002/crq.159.
- Braga, Anthony A. "Problem-Oriented Policing, Deterrence, and Youth Violence: An Evaluation of Boston's Operation Ceasefire." *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 38, no. 3 (2001): 195–225.
- Braveboy, Aisha. "Diversion, not mass incarceration, will reduce crime." *The Baltimore Sun*. July 23, 2013. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-diversion-20130723-story.html>.
- Browning, Christopher R., Danielle Wallace, Seth L. Feinberg, and Kathleen A. Cagney. "Neighborhood Social Processes, Physical Conditions, and Disaster-Related Mortality: The Case of the 1995 Chicago Heat Wave." *American Sociological Review* 71, no. 4 (August 2006): 661–78. doi:10.1177/000312240607100407.
- Browning, Christopher R., Lori A. Berrington, Tama Leventhal, and Jeanne Brooks-Gunn. "Neighborhood Structural Inequality, Collective Efficacy, and Sexual Risk Behavior among Urban Youth." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 49, no. 3 (September 2008): 269–85. doi:10.1177/002214650804900303.

- Browning, Christopher R., and Kathleen A. Cagney. "Neighborhood Structural Disadvantage, Collective Efficacy, and Self-Rated Physical Health in an Urban Setting." *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 43, no. 4 (December 2002): 383. doi:10.2307/3090233.
- Calderon, Eduardo and Maria Hernandez-Figueroa. *Citizen Oversight Committees in Law Enforcement*. Los Angeles: California State University, 2013. http://cpp.fullerton.edu/pdf/cpp_policeoversight_report.pdf.
- Civil Rights Division. *Investigation of the Baltimore City Police Department*. Washington, D.C.: Department of Justice, 2016.
- Clarke, Stephen. "Arrested Oversight: A Comparative Analysis and Case Study of How Civilian Oversight of the Police Should Function and How it Fails." *Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems* 43, no. 1 (Fall, 2009).
- Compton, Michael T., Roger Bakeman, Beth Broussard, Dana Hankerson-Dyson, Letheshia Husbands, Shaily Krishan, Tarianna Stewart-Hutto, et al. "The Police-Based Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Model: II. Effects on Level of Force and Resolution, Referral, and Arrest." *Psychiatric Services* 65, no. 4 (2014): 523–29. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201300108.
- Cordner, Gary. "Reducing fear of crime: Strategies for police." Washington, D.C.: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2010. <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=252805>.
- Desmond, Matthew, Andrew V. Papachristos, and David S. Kirk. "Police Violence and Citizen Crime Reporting in the Black Community." *American Sociological Review* 81, no. 5 (2016): 857–76. doi:10.1177/0003122416663494.
- Devlin, Deanna N., and Denise C. Gottfredson. "The Roles of Police Officers in Schools." *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice* 16, no. 2 (November 29, 2016): 208–23. doi:10.1177/1541204016680405.
- Durlauf, Steven N., and Daniel S. Nagin. "Imprisonment and crime: Can both be reduced." *Criminology & Public Policy* 10, no. 1 (2011): 13–54. 10.1111/j.1745-9133.2010.00680.x.
- Ector v. City of Torrance, 10 Cal.3d 129 (Cal. Supreme Court, 1973).
- Erickson, Patricia G., Lana Harrison, Steven Cook, Marie-Marthe Cousineau, and Edward M Adlaf. "A Comparative Study of the Influence of Collective Efficacy on Substance Use among Adolescent Students in Philadelphia, Toronto, and Montreal." *Addiction Research & Theory* 20, no. 1 (November 30, 2010): 11–20. doi:10.3109/16066359.2010.530710.
- Fenton, Justin. "Star Criminologist Hopes to Make Difference in Return to Baltimore." *The Baltimore Sun*. February 16, 2014. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-david-kennedy-ceasefire-returns-20140215-story.html>.
- Finn, Peter. *Citizen Review of Police: Approaches and Implementation*. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Justice, 2001. <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/184430.pdf>.
- Flynn, Edward A., and Victoria Herrington. "Toward a Profession of Police Leadership." In *New Perspectives in Policing*. Washington, D.C.: Department of Justice, 2015. <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/248573.pdf>.
- George, Justin. "Some Baltimore Neighborhoods Condemned to Endure a Shocking Degree of Violence." *The Baltimore Sun*. November 08, 2016. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/investigations/bal-shoot-to-kill-part-two-story.html>.
- Gibson, Chris L., Christopher J. Sullivan, Shayne Jones, and Alex R. Piquero. "Does It Take a Village?" *Assessing Neighborhood Influences on Children's Self-Control*. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 47, no. 1 (2009): 31–62.

- doi:10.1177/0022427809348903.
- Groff, Elizabeth R. et al. "Does What Police Do at Hot Spots Matter? The Philadelphia Policing Tactics Experiment." *Criminology* 53, no. 1 (2014): 23-54. doi:10.1111/1745-9125.12055.
- Higgins, Brian R., and Joel Hunt, "Collective Efficacy: Taking Action to Improve Neighborhoods," *National Institute of Justice Journal* 277. "<https://nij.gov/journals/277/Pages/collective-efficacy.aspx>."
- Hinds, Lyn. "Youth, Police Legitimacy and Informal Contact." *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology* 24, no. 1 (December 2, 2008): 10–21. doi:10.1007/s11896-008-9031-x.
- Hipp, John R., and James C. Wo. "Collective Efficacy and Crime." *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*, 169–73. Elsevier, 2015. doi:10.1016/b978-0-08-097086-8.45045-2.
- Hirsch, Werner Z. and Anthony M. Rufolo. "Economic Effects of Residency Laws on Municipal Police." *UCLA Econ Working Papers* 279 (January, 1983). <http://www.econ.ucla.edu/workingpapers/wp279.pdf>
- Hoge, Robert D. "Application of Precharge Diversion Programs." *Criminology & Public Policy* 15, no. 3 (July 27, 2016): 991–99. doi:10.1111/1745-9133.12244.
- Hunter, George. "Cop Misconduct Suits Drop after Reforms." *Detroit News*. February 24, 2017. <https://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/detroit-city/2017/02/24/detroit-police-reforms/98372122/>.
- Jacobs, Margaret. "Mobile Computing Technologies." Alexandria: International Association of Chiefs of Police, 2006, <http://www.theiacp.org/portals/0/pdfs/MobileComputing.pdf>.
- Kaminski, Robert J., Cleto Digiovanni, and Raymond Downs. "The Use of Force between the Police and Persons with Impaired Judgment." *Police Quarterly* 7, no. 3 (September 2004): 311–38. doi:10.1177/109861103253456.
- Kelling, George L. and Catherine M. Coles. *Fixing broken windows: Restoring order and reducing crime in our communities*. New York: Touchstone, 1996.
- Kelly Kimbriell, Sara Childress, and Steven Rich. "What the Justice Department's Decades-long Pursuit of Abusive Police Departments Has Actually Achieved." *The Washington Post*. November 13, 2015, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/sf/investigative/2015/11/13/forced-reforms-mixed-results>.
- Kennedy, David M. *Don't Shoot: One Man, a Street Fellowship, and the End of Violence in Inner-City America*. New York: Bloomsbury, 2011.
- Kerr, Amy N., Melissa Morabito, and Amy C. Watson. "Police Encounters, Mental Illness, and Injury: An Exploratory Investigation." *Journal of Police Crisis Negotiations* 10, no. 1–2 (June 8, 2010): 116–32. doi:10.1080/15332581003757198.
- Kirk, David, and Andrew Papachristos. 2011. "Cultural Mechanisms and the Persistence of Neighborhood Violence." *American Journal of Sociology* 116(4): 1190–1233.
- Koper, Christopher S., Bruce G. Taylor, and Bruce E. Kubu. *Law Enforcement Technology Needs Assessment: Future Technologies to Address the Operational Needs of Law Enforcement*. Washington, D.C.: Police Executive Research Forum and Lockheed Martin Corporation, 2009. http://www.policeforum.org/assets/docs/Free_Online_Documents/Technology/law%20enforcement%20technology%20needs%20assessment%202009.pdf.
- Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence and PICO National Network. *Healing Communities in Crisis: Lifesaving Solutions to the Urban Gun Violence Epidemic*. San Francisco: Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence, 2016.
- Lawson, Tony, Robert Rogerson, and Malcolm Barnacle. "A Comparison between the

- Cost Effectiveness of CCTV and Improved Street Lighting as a Means of Crime Reduction." *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 68 (March 2018): 17–25. doi:10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2017.09.008.
- Lee, Brian. California's next after-school challenge: Keeping high school teens off the street and on the right track. Oakland: Fight Crime: Invest in Kids California, 2004.
- Lee, Hyanghee, Joy Heafner, Ronald M. Sabatelli, and Valerie LaMotte. "Side-by-Side: An Evaluation of Connecticut's Police and Youth Interaction Model." *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment* 27, no. 8 (August 2, 2017): 806–16. doi:10.1080/10911359.2017.1339652.
- Loewentheil, Nate. "High Standards? Reassessing the Use of Marijuana Standards to Screen Police Recruits in Maryland 2017." *The Abell Report* 30, no. 7 (September 2017). https://www.abell.org/sites/default/files/files/Abell%20Police%20Marijuana%20Report_9_14%20WebVersion.pdf: 3.
- Maryland Courts. "Press Release." October 3, 2017. <https://www.mdcourts.gov/media/newsitem/2017/item20171103>.
- The Maryland Office of the Public Defender. *Bail Reviewed: The Court Observation Project*. Baltimore: The Maryland Office of the Public Defender, 2018. <http://www.opd.state.md.us/Portals/0/Downloads/articles/Bail%20Reviewed.pdf>.
- Maryland Rules. Rule 4-216.1. <https://www.courts.state.md.us/sites/default/files/rules/order/ro192.pdf>.
- Mazerolle, Lorraine, Rebecca Wickes, and James McBroom. "Community Variations in Violence: The Role of Social Ties and Collective Efficacy in Comparative Context." *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 47, no. 1 (2009): 3–30. doi:10.1177/0022427809348898.
- Milam, Adam J., Shani A. Buggs, C. Debra M. Furr-Holden, Philip J. Leaf, Catherine P. Bradshaw, and Daniel Webster. "Changes in Attitudes toward Guns and Shootings Following Implementation of the Baltimore Safe Streets Intervention." *Journal of Urban Health* 93, no. 4 (June 13, 2016): 609–26. doi:10.1007/s11524-016-0060-y.
- The Milton S. Eisenhower Foundation. *Youth Investment and Police Mentoring*. Washington, D.C.: The Milton S. Eisenhower Foundation, 2001.
- Mitchell, Ojmarrh, David Wilson, Amy Eggers, and Doris MacKenzie. "Drug Courts' Effects on Criminal Offending for Juveniles and Adults." *Campbell Collaboration* 4 (2012). <http://campbellcollaboration.org/lib/project/74/>
- Mohler, George O., M. B. Short, Sean Malinowski, Mark Johnson, G. E. Tita, Andrea L. Bertozzi, and P. J. Brantingham. "Randomized Controlled Field Trials of Predictive Policing." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 110, no. 512 (October 2, 2015): 1399–1411. doi:10.1080/01621459.2015.1077710.
- Morabito, Melissa S., Amy N. Kerr, Amy Watson, Jeffrey Draine, Victor Ottati, and Beth Angell, "Crisis Intervention Teams and People With Mental Illness," *Crime & Delinquency* 58, no. 1 (August 26, 2010): 57–77. doi:10.1177/001128710372456.
- Novak, Kenneth J., Andrew M. Fox, Christine M. Carr, and David A. Spade. "The Efficacy of Foot Patrol in Violent Places." *Journal of Experimental Criminology* 12, no. 3 (2016): 465–75. doi:10.1007/s11292-016-9271-1.
- Nugent, William R., Mona Williams, and Mark S. Umbreit. "Participation in Victim-Offender Mediation and the Prevalence of Subsequent Delinquent Behavior: A Meta-Analysis." *Research on Social Work Practice* 14, no. 6 (November 2004): 408–16. doi:10.1177/1049731504265831.
- Odgers, Candice L., Terrie E. Moffitt, Laura M. Tach, Robert J. Sampson, Alan Taylor,

- Charlotte L. Matthews, and Avshalom Caspi. "The Protective Effects of Neighborhood Collective Efficacy on British Children Growing up in Deprivation: A Developmental Analysis." *Developmental Psychology* 45, no. 4 (2009): 942–57. doi:10.1037/a0016162.
- Ofer, Udi. "Getting it Right: Civilian Review Boards to Oversee Police." *Seton Hall Law Review* 46 (2016): 1033–62.
- Open Societies Foundation. *Steps in the Right Direction: Maryland Counties Leading the Way in Pretrial Services*. Criminal and Juvenile Justice Program. Baltimore: Open Society Institute-Baltimore, 2018, https://www.osibaltimore.org/wp-content/uploads/Steps_Formatted-V3.pdf
- Piza, Eric L., and Brian A. O'Hara. "Saturation Foot-Patrol in a High-Violence Area: A Quasi-Experimental Evaluation." *Justice Quarterly* 31, no. 4 (2012): 693–718. doi:10.1080/07418825.2012.668923.
- Police Executive Research Forum. *Civil Rights Investigations of Local Police: Lessons Learned*. Washington, D.C.: PERF, 2013, http://www.policeforum.org/assets/docs/Critical_Issues_Series/civil%20rights%20investigations%20of%20local%20police%20-%20lessons%20learned%202013.pdf
- Public Lighting Authority. "Annual Report." City of Detroit, February 2016. http://www.pladetroit.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/LR_Feb-16_PLA-Annual-Report.pdf.
- Rafael, Steven and Jens Ludwig. "Prison sentence enhancements: The case of project exile." In *Evaluating Gun Police: Effect on Crime and Violence*, Jens Ludwig and Philip J. Cook. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2003.
- Ransford C, Kane C, Slutkin G. *CeaseFire Chicago: an analysis of the effects of a funding interruption on the CeaseFire intervention*. Presented at the annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, Philadelphia, November 2009.
- Ratcliffe, Jerry H., Travis Taniguchi, Elizabeth R. Groff, and Jennifer D. Wood. "The Philadelphia foot patrol experiment: A randomized controlled trial of police patrol effectiveness in violent crime hotspots." *Criminology* 49, no. 3 (August 2011): 795–831. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00240.x>.
- Record, Kevin. "Baltimore police to divert low-level drug, prostitution offenders to support services rather than jail." *The Baltimore Sun*. February 6, 2017. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/bs-md-ci-drug-diversion-program-20170206-story.html>.
- Rossler, Michael T., and William Terrill. "Mental Illness, Police Use of Force, and Citizen Injury." *Police Quarterly* 20, no. 2 (December 15, 2016): 189–212. doi:10.1177/1098611116681480.
- Sampson, Robert J., and Per-Olof H. Wikström. "The social order of violence in Chicago and Stockholm neighborhoods: a comparative inquiry." In *Order, Conflict, and Violence*, edited by Kalyvas, S.N., Shapiro, I., Masoud, T. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- Sampson, Robert J., and Stephen W. Raudenbush, "Systematic social observation of public spaces: a new look at disorder in urban neighborhoods." *American Journal of Sociology* 105, no. 3 (1999): 603–651.
- Sampson, Robert J. "Legal Cynicism and (Subcultural?) Tolerance of Deviance: The Neighborhood Context of Racial Differences." *Law & Society Review* 32, No. 4 (1998): 777–804
- Sampson, Robert J., Stephen W. Raudenbush, Felton Earls, "Neighborhoods and violent crime: a multilevel study of collective efficacy." *Science* 277 (August, 15 1997): 918–924.
- Seattle Police Department. *Crisis Intervention Program Report 2015*. Seattle: Seattle

- Police Department, 2016. https://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/Police/Publications/2015_Crisis_Intervention_Report.pdf
- Schwalbe, Craig S., Robin E. Gearing, Michael J. MacKenzie, Kathryne B. Brewer, and Rawan Ibrahim. "A Meta-Analysis of Experimental Studies of Diversion Programs for Juvenile Offenders." *Clinical Psychology Review* 32, no. 1 (February 2012): 26–33. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.10.002.
- SEDL. "Afterschool Programs Make a Difference: Findings From the Harvard Family Research Project." *SEDL Letter* 20, no. 2 (August, 2008). http://www.sedl.org/pubs/sedl-letter/v20n02/afterschool_findings.html
- Sharkey, Patrick, Gerard Torrats-Espinosa, and Delaram Takyar. "Community and the Crime Decline: The Causal Effect of Local Nonprofits on Violent Crime." *American Sociological Review* 82, no. 6 (October 25, 2017): 1214–40. doi:10.1177/0003122417736289.
- Simons, Ronald L., Leslie Gordon Simons, Callie Harbin Burt, Gene H. Brody, Carolyn Cutrona. "Collective efficacy, authoritative parenting and delinquency: a longitudinal test of a model integrating community- and family-level processes." *Criminology* 43, no. 4 (November 2005), 989–1029, doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2005.00031.x.
- Skogan, Wesley G., Susan M. Hartnett; Natalie Bump; Jill Dubois, Evaluation of CeaseFire—Chicago (Evanston: Northwestern University; 2008)
- Stevenson, Megan T., and Sandra G. Mayson. "Bail Reform: New Directions for Pretrial Detention and Release." In *Academy for Justice, A Report on Scholarship and Criminal Justice Reform* edited by Erik Luna ed., 2017, Forthcoming, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2939273.
- Stewart, Gregory, Liesbeth Gerritsen, Mary Otto, and Emma Covelli, Crisis intervention training: The effects of mandatory training on use of force, arrests and holds for mental health. Portland: Portland Police Bureau Training Division, 2013
- Trickey, Erick, John Culhane, Michael Hirsh, and Rich Lowry. "The Detroit Success Story Visible From Space." *Politico Magazine*. May 18, 2017. <https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/05/18/detroit-led-streetlights-infrastructure-project-215159>.
- Trojanowicz, Robert. "Evaluating a neighborhood foot patrol program: The Flint, Michigan Project." In *Community crime Prevention: Does it work?*, edited by Dennis Rosenbaum, Beverly Hills: Sage, 1986, <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=96565>.
- Vignarajah, Thiru. "Data show mandatory minimum sentences won't stop Baltimore's gun violence." *The Baltimore Sun*. August 11, 2017. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-op-0813-mandatory-gun-sentence-20170809-story.html>.
- Warren, Pat. "Report: Baltimore City Council President Says Officers 'Raping The City' By Not Living In Baltimore." *CBS*. October 19, 2017. <http://baltimore.cbslocal.com/2017/10/19/officers-raping-the-city/>.
- Watson, Amy C., Michael T. Compton, and Jeffrey N. Draine. "The Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Model: An Evidence-Based Policing Practice?" *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* 35, no. 5–6. (August 30, 2017): 431–41.
- Watson, Amy C., Victor C. Ottati, Melissa Morabito, Jeffrey Draine, Amy N. Kerr, and Beth Angell. "Outcomes of Police Contacts with Persons with Mental Illness: The Impact of CIT." *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research* 37, no. 4 (August 25, 2009): 302–17. doi:10.1007/s10488-009-0236-9.
- Webster, Daniel W., Jennifer Mendel Whitehill, Jon S. Vernick, and Frank C. Curriero.

- "Effects of Baltimore's Safe Streets Program on Gun Violence: A Replication of Chicago's CeaseFire Program." *Journal of Urban Health* 90, no. 1 (June 14, 2012): 27–40. doi:10.1007/s11524-012-9731-5.
- Weitzer, Ronald, and Steven Tuch. 2005. "Racially Biased Policing: Determinants of Citizen Perceptions." *Social Forces* 83(3):1009–30
- Wenger, Yvonne. "Community oversight panel discusses goals for Baltimore police improvements." *The Baltimore Sun*. June 28, 2017. <http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/baltimore-city/doj-report/bs-md-ci-oversight-community-20170628-story.html>.
- Williams, Kirk R., and Nancy G. Guerra. "Perceptions of Collective Efficacy and Bullying Perpetration in Schools." *Social Problems* 58, no. 1 (February 2011): 126–43. doi:10.1525/sp.2011.58.1.126.
- Wolpert, Stuart. "Predictive Policing Substantially Reduces Crime in Los Angeles during Months-long Test." UCLA. October 07, 2015. <http://newsroom.ucla.edu/releases/predictive-policing-substantially-reduces-crime-in-los-angeles-during-months-long-test>.
- Wong, Jennifer S., Jessica Bouchard, Jason Gravel, Martin Bouchard, and Carlo Morselli. "Can At-Risk Youth Be Diverted From Crime?" *Criminal Justice and Behavior* 43, no. 10 (2016): 1310–29. doi:10.1177/0093854816640835.
- The Work First Foundation. "About the Program." Baltimore Bail Diversion Program. <https://www.theworkfirstfoundation.org/baltimore-bail-diversion-program/>
- Worrall, John L., and Larry K. Gaines. "The Effect of Police-Probation Partnerships on Juvenile Arrests." *Journal of Criminal Justice* 34, no. 6 (2006): 579–89. doi:10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2006.09.012.
- Wright, Emily M., and Michael L. Benson. "Clarifying the Effects of Neighborhood Context on Violence 'Behind Closed Doors.'" *Justice Quarterly* 28, no. 5 (October 2011): 775–98. doi:10.1080/07418825.2010.533687.
- Xu, Yanqing, Cong Fu, Eugene Kennedy, Shanhe Jiang, and Samuel Owusu-Agyemang. "The Impact of Street Lights on Spatial-Temporal Patterns of Crime in Detroit, Michigan." *Cities*, March 2018. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2018.02.021.
- Zhang, Lening, Messner, Steven F., Liu, Jianhong. "A multilevel analysis of the risk of Household Burglary in the city of Tianjin, China." *British Journal of Criminology* 47, no. 6 (2007): 918–937, doi:10.1093/bjc/azm026.



A PLAN FOR
PUBLIC SAFETY

NATE FOR
STATE

DELEGATE / DISTRICT 46